

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMESS ONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 145 Alexandria, Yirginia 22313-1450

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/074,763 | 02/11/2002 | Ramachandra Bethmangalkar | SUN-P7089 | SUN-P7089 1089 | |
| 45657 | 7590 10/07/2005 | | EXAM | EXAMINER | |
| HICKMAN PALERMO TRUONG & BECKER, LLP | | | PATEL, CHIRAG R | | |
| | MICROSYSTEMS, INC WAY PLACE | · · | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| SUITE 550 | | | 2141 | <u> </u> | |
| SAN JOSE, | CA 95110-1089 | DATE MAILED: 10/07/2005 | | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
|-----------------|----------------------|--|--|
| 10/074,763 | BETHMANGALKAR ET AL. | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| Chirag R. Patel | 2141 | | |

| | Chirag R. Patel | 2141 | | | | |
|--|---|--|-------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence add | ress | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 06 September 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS | S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F | OR ALLOWANCE. | | | | |
| The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods: The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing | ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o se with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mo | idavit, or other eviden compliance with 37 Cl | ce, which FR 41.31; or (3) | | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70) | dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE D6.07(f). | g date of the final rejecti E FIRST REPLY WAS F | on. ILED WITHIN | | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | | | | | | |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of th | s of the date of e appeal. Since | | | |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); | | | | | | |
| (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or | | | | | | |
| (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). | corresponding number of finally rej | ected claims. | | | | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 | 21. See attached Notice of Non-Co | mpliant Amendment (| PTOL-324). | | | |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): | | | | | | |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). | | | | | | |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: | | ll be entered and an e | xplanation of | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>48-59</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>1-47</u> . | | | | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | 4 hafana aa an tha data af Siine - N | akian af Ammani willima | 4 6 4 4 | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | | | | |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar. | vercome all rejections under appea | al and/or appellant fai | ls to provide a | | | |
| showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | | | | | | |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu | t does NOT place the application in | n condition for allowar | nce because: | | | |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (13. Other: | (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N | lo(s) | | | | |
| | AUPAL | DHARIA | | | | |
| | | ATENT EXAMINER | | | | |